

# FY 11 State Assistance by County

<b>County</b>	<b>Total Requested</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Number of Requests</b>
ATASCOSA	\$584,324.71	\$584,324.71	12
BROOKS	\$372,721.56	\$372,721.56	5
CALLAHAN	\$94,410.52	\$94,410.52	2
DEWITT	\$58,443.91	\$58,443.91	3
EASTLAND	\$365,931.33	\$365,931.33	9
JONES	\$96,789.92	\$96,719.92	9
LAMAR	\$262,465.87	\$262,465.87	5
RED RIVER	\$341,555.18	\$341,555.18	16
TRINITY	\$226,125.18	\$226,125.18	8
WHARTON	\$233,602.01	\$233,602.01	14
ZAVALA	\$47,669.28	\$47,669.28	1

# FY 11 County Payment Distributions Summary

County Payments Distribution	Year To Date	Year To Date %
A. Physicians Services	\$10,916,990.52	22.31%
B. Prescription Drugs	\$7,611,543.59	15.56%
C. Hospital Inpatient Services	\$13,934,669.39	28.48%
D. Hospital Outpatient Services	\$10,336,432.03	21.13%
E. Lab/Xray Services	\$1,730,465.47	3.54%
F. Skilled Nursing Facility	\$11,472.17	0.02%
G. Family Planning Services	\$380,046.42	0.78%
H. Rural Health Clinic Services	\$171,749.37	0.35%
I. State Hospital Contract	\$742,218.81	1.52%
J. Optional Services	\$3,090,341.20	6.32%
<b>Sub-Total County Payments</b>	<b>\$48,925,928.97</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>County Reimbursements</b>	<b>\$2,628,329.19</b>	
<b>Net County Payments</b>	<b>\$46,297,599.78</b>	

## Summary Of CIHCP Recipients

Month	Counties Reporting
September	105
October	102
November	103
December	101
December	2
January	106
February	101
February	5
March	107
April	108
May	103

**County Payments Distribution****Year To Date****Year To Date %****June****103****July****102****August****98****August****1**

# FY 11 County Monthly Spending and Payment Distribution

Month	Physician Services	Prescription Drugs	Hospital Inpatient Services	Hospital Outpatient Services	Lab/XRay Services	Skilled Nursing Facility	Family Planning Services	Rural Health Clinic Services	State Hospital Contracts	Optional Services	Monthly Total Expenditures
September	\$860,537.82	\$785,882.87	\$1,407,761.36	\$948,623.15	\$200,707.93	\$1,934.53	\$22,270.11	\$9,525.61	\$22,122.15	\$154,557.41	\$4,413,922.94
October	\$802,834.31	\$568,100.92	\$1,132,542.48	\$817,067.44	\$161,188.75	\$0.00	\$22,485.78	\$20,198.02	\$56,005.73	\$180,054.17	\$3,760,477.60
November	\$947,713.23	\$544,581.78	\$993,835.24	\$778,976.34	\$128,687.19	\$0.00	\$25,229.36	\$16,158.80	\$26,886.91	\$835,932.29	\$4,298,001.14
December	\$849,933.58	\$602,460.67	\$1,123,894.80	\$900,274.16	\$136,759.97	\$0.00	\$22,586.51	\$10,319.28	\$69,812.03	\$208,187.89	\$3,924,228.89
December	\$39.87	\$727.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$130.44	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300.96	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,198.82
January	\$1,089,802.50	\$774,345.20	\$1,846,658.88	\$1,265,771.28	\$163,104.91	\$5,668.58	\$31,206.74	\$15,191.52	\$62,017.13	\$231,904.27	\$5,485,671.01
February	\$660,102.98	\$698,834.78	\$980,606.59	\$903,678.21	\$114,354.64	\$84.11	\$25,016.27	\$19,113.45	\$17,264.67	\$92,298.01	\$3,511,353.71
February	\$282,259.11	\$43,706.68	\$36,748.84	\$46,149.67	\$10,999.85	\$0.00	\$1,670.58	\$0.00	\$13,292.99	\$96,896.12	\$531,723.84
March	\$1,134,598.79	\$702,196.33	\$1,510,307.13	\$1,212,081.03	\$171,530.99	\$841.10	\$33,785.81	\$8,895.77	\$71,274.68	\$246,009.16	\$5,091,520.79
April	\$943,785.56	\$598,909.95	\$1,303,491.95	\$1,180,661.52	\$162,789.66	\$0.00	\$29,186.22	\$13,853.59	\$64,051.15	\$174,879.29	\$4,471,608.89
May	\$824,973.05	\$627,674.34	\$1,381,563.31	\$997,648.16	\$135,535.14	\$2,943.85	\$47,918.15	\$12,746.94	\$26,342.47	\$212,702.87	\$4,270,048.28
June	\$1,020,524.54	\$662,732.10	\$1,605,802.45	\$1,179,199.91	\$156,341.98	\$0.00	\$50,657.05	\$14,116.77	\$55,464.26	\$228,013.95	\$4,972,853.01
July	\$927,085.33	\$627,962.08	\$1,167,260.03	\$1,106,291.16	\$151,933.52	\$0.00	\$51,470.85	\$18,028.86	\$171,575.81	\$231,306.13	\$4,452,913.77

Friday, October 21, 2011

<b>Month</b>	<b>Physician Services</b>	<b>Prescription Drugs</b>	<b>Hospital Inpatient Services</b>	<b>Hospital Outpatient Services</b>	<b>Lab/XRay Services</b>	<b>Skilled Nursing Facility</b>	<b>Family Planning Services</b>	<b>Rural Health Clinic Services</b>	<b>State Hospital Contracts</b>	<b>Optional Services</b>	<b>Monthly Total Expenditures</b>
August	\$1,047,239.12	\$669,202.36	\$1,525,583.28	\$1,145,014.92	\$191,289.92	\$0.00	\$47,728.42	\$13,926.60	\$86,108.83	\$329,224.43	\$5,055,317.88
August	\$1,306.63	\$1,931.05	\$10,139.86	\$6,243.04	\$328.49	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$68.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20,017.07

# COUNTY INDIGENT HEALTH CARE SPENDING

For FY 2011

## County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
ANDERSON	2009	\$1,095,578.08			0
ANGELINA	2009	\$1,216,952.00	\$480,840.10	3.16%	9
ARANSAS	2009	\$714,851.84	\$533,811.09	5.97%	12
ARCHER	2009	\$1,939,439.60	\$100,081.36	0.41%	12
ARMSTRONG	2009	\$32,394.24			0
ATASCOSA	2009	\$944,986.56	\$1,529,311.33	12.95%	12
AUSTIN	2009	\$383,853.28	\$126,171.88	2.63%	12
BAILEY	2009	\$18,088.56			0
BANDERA	2009	\$770,360.80	\$169,074.39	1.76%	12
BASTROP	2009	\$1,692,625.84	\$945,494.24	4.47%	12
BELL	2009	\$4,636,992.88	\$2,829,338.82	4.88%	12
BLANCO	2009	\$58,999.28			0
BORDEN	2009	\$138,596.72	\$15,300.21	0.88%	12
BOSQUE	2009	\$324,313.44	\$71,258.66	1.76%	12
BOWIE	2009	\$1,433,058.00			0
BRAZORIA	2009	\$4,942,377.92	\$225,014.45	0.36%	1
BRAZOS	2009	\$4,935,709.28	\$172,117.04	0.28%	12
BRISCOE	2006	\$49,397.92			0
BROOKS	2009	\$287,978.40	\$697,685.00	19.38%	12
BROWN	2009	\$708,317.20	\$577,676.98	6.52%	12
BURNET	2009	\$1,085,019.92	\$564,820.80	4.16%	12
CALDWELL	2009	\$889,994.96	\$238,419.67	2.14%	12
CALLAHAN	2009	\$119,730.80	\$159,362.16	10.65%	12
CAMERON	2009	\$4,019,751.52			0
CAMP	2009	\$195,120.88			0
CARSON	2009	\$198,965.52	\$0.00	0.00%	5
CASS	2009	\$476,479.28	\$93,943.20	1.58%	11
CHAMBERS	2009	\$1,606,951.44	\$194,265.24	0.97%	12
CHEROKEE	2009	\$116,581.92	\$85,712.49	5.88%	12
COLLIN	2009	\$13,969,623.36	\$669,300.79	0.38%	9
COLORADO	2009	\$373,732.08	\$59,824.59	1.28%	12
COMAL	2009	\$2,732,674.32	\$2,017,621.10	5.91%	12
COMANCHE	2009	\$5,840.00	\$0.00	0.00%	10
CORYELL	2009	\$782,526.24	\$433,671.30	4.43%	10
COTTLE	2009	\$13,213,990.40			0
CROCKETT	2009	\$711,985.52	\$48,595.67	0.55%	12
DELTA	2009	\$118,936.88	\$95,460.87	6.42%	11
DENTON	2009	\$10,781,955.28	\$1,566,789.18	1.16%	10
DEWITT	2009	\$134,356.96	\$192,800.87	11.48%	12
DICKENS	2009	\$76,934.56	\$28,225.62	2.94%	12
DUVAL	2009	\$470,482.48			0
EASTLAND	2009	\$228,405.84	\$639,092.54	22.38%	13

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures

## County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
EDWARDS	2007	\$192,161.12			0
ELLIS	2009	\$2,966,724.24	\$908,947.74	2.45%	12
ERATH	2009	\$648,500.08			0
FALLS	2009	\$305,689.12	\$195,191.35	5.11%	9
FANNIN	2009	\$376,010.48	\$161,986.74	3.45%	12
FAYETTE	2009	\$788,451.04			0
FORT BEND	2009	\$15,132,314.80			0
FREESTONE	2009	\$113,947.12	\$96,100.37	6.75%	11
FRIO	2009	\$51,799.20			0
GAINES	2009	\$102,472.32	\$45,660.34	3.56%	12
GALVESTON	2009	\$9,500,654.64	\$6,284,798.13	5.29%	12
GILLESPIE	2009	\$727,496.64	\$153,727.13	1.69%	9
GLASSCOCK	2009	\$244,366.08	\$0.00	0.00%	10
GOLIAD	2009	\$510,134.00	\$186,848.97	2.93%	12
GRAY	2009	\$544,972.96	\$10,112.53	0.15%	7
GRAYSON	2009	\$2,191,575.84	\$1,711,234.20	6.25%	11
GREGG	2009	\$2,798,913.92			0
GUADALUPE	2009	\$2,430,905.44			0
HALE	2009	\$823,527.44	\$326,693.63	3.17%	5
HAMILTON	2009	\$4,959,934.56	\$0.00	0.00%	10
HARDIN	2009	\$872,700.56	\$268,804.30	2.46%	12
HARRISON	2009	\$1,588,746.32	\$323,093.90	1.63%	11
HAYS	2009	\$4,428,723.84	\$1,123,150.95	2.03%	12
HENDERSON	2008	\$1,633,575.36	\$189,710.35	0.93%	12
HIDALGO	2006	\$10,078,378.08			0
HILL	2009	\$762,069.04	\$575,189.96	6.04%	12
HOCKLEY	2009	\$791,754.72	\$259,545.53	2.62%	11
HOWARD	2009	\$830,847.44	\$15,182.89	0.15%	12
HUDSPETH	2009	\$181,308.16			0
IRION	2009	\$179,935.12	\$12,836.34	0.57%	12
JASPER	2009	\$716,822.24	\$351,297.80	3.92%	12
JEFF DAVIS	2009	\$103,436.48	\$381.93	0.03%	2
JEFFERSON	2009	\$7,842,256.08	\$1,207,020.60	1.23%	12
JIM HOGG	2009	\$280,469.84	\$101,657.21	2.90%	10
JIM WELLS	2009	\$908,961.60	\$143,006.35	1.26%	12
JOHNSON	2009	\$3,243,536.00	\$1,035,811.66	2.55%	11
JONES	2009	\$104,125.60	\$171,682.37	13.19%	12
KAUFMAN	2008	\$324,143.52	\$150,700.96	3.72%	12
KENDALL	2009	\$540,822.16	\$37,863.26	0.56%	12
KENEDY	2008	\$292,131.28			0
KENT	2009	\$203,569.36	\$15,388.07	0.60%	12
KERR	2009	\$1,196,075.44	\$144,775.49	0.97%	12
KING	2009	\$159,485.76			0
KINNEY	2009	\$84,608.96	\$77,587.87	7.34%	11

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures

## County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
KLEBERG	2009	\$889,017.36			0
LAMAR	2009	\$1,105,028.64	\$1,490,693.26	10.79%	12
LAMPASAS	2009	\$473,480.56			0
LASALLE	2009	\$158,851.60	\$126,294.89	6.36%	6
LAVACA	2009	\$83,406.08			0
LEE	2009	\$560,840.08	\$193,374.07	2.76%	12
LEON	2009	\$774,915.52	\$93,459.08	0.96%	12
LIBERTY	2009	\$745,557.04	\$137,323.64	1.47%	12
LIMESTONE	2009	\$105,031.68	\$71,887.41	5.48%	13
LIVE OAK	2009	\$373,498.64			0
LOVING	2009	\$292,793.20	\$0.00	0.00%	12
MADISON	2009	\$276,678.00	\$116,260.05	3.36%	12
MASON	2009	\$111,095.68	\$24,792.03	1.79%	10
MCLENNAN	2009	\$4,697,550.16	\$1,583,388.17	2.70%	11
MCMULLEN	2009	\$198,851.04	\$0.00	0.00%	12
MILAM	2009	\$534,559.28	\$368,660.11	5.52%	12
MILLS	2008	\$236,685.44	\$30,555.01	1.03%	12
MONTAGUE	2009	\$189,111.28	\$113,417.25	4.80%	12
MORRIS	2009	\$198,362.56	\$100,833.13	4.07%	12
NAVARRO	2009	\$1,144,442.72	\$185,720.49	1.30%	3
NEWTON	2009	\$389,177.76	\$70,847.37	1.46%	12
OLDHAM	2009	\$96,514.48			0
ORANGE	2009	\$2,139,329.28	\$501,677.90	1.88%	12
POLK	2009	\$1,056,752.56	\$94,224.48	0.71%	12
RAINS	2009	\$310,622.64	\$4,082.70	0.11%	1
RANDALL	2009	\$267,919.52	\$7,065.51	0.21%	11
REAL	2009	\$147,860.72			0
RED RIVER	2009	\$207,157.68	\$543,390.84	20.98%	12
ROBERTS	2009	\$210,605.20	\$0.00	0.00%	12
ROBERTSON	2009	\$1,110,503.60	\$115,987.39	0.84%	12
ROCKWALL	2009	\$2,051,965.68	\$197,026.15	0.77%	12
RUNNELS	2009	\$22,816.40	\$511.72	0.18%	12
RUSK	2009	\$1,032,840.40			0
SAN JACINTO	2009	\$496,343.84	\$57,895.51	0.93%	12
SAN PATRICIO	2009	\$1,396,107.68	\$271,413.60	1.56%	12
SAN SABA	2008	\$129,814.56	\$130,533.08	8.04%	12
SHELBY	2009	\$501,087.12			0
SMITH	2009	\$4,198,966.08	\$1,090,701.12	2.08%	12
SOMERVELL	2009	\$855,344.56	\$190,100.12	1.78%	6
STERLING	2009	\$224,868.88	\$0.00	0.00%	12
TAYLOR	2009	\$2,378,102.16	\$1,896,697.86	6.38%	12
TERRELL	2009	\$251,446.08	\$0.00	0.00%	12
TOM GREEN	2009	\$2,042,774.72	\$255,383.70	1.00%	10
TRINITY	2009	\$113,648.08	\$339,413.25	23.89%	12

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures

## County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
UPSHUR	2009	\$753,817.12			0
UVALDE	2009	\$629,327.68	\$98,009.30	1.25%	5
VAN ZANDT	2009	\$662,412.00			0
WALLER	2009	\$1,221,761.84	\$223,772.61	1.47%	12
WASHINGTON	2009	\$753,739.92	\$104,408.70	1.11%	12
WEBB	2009	\$5,148,921.52	\$929,382.46	1.44%	11
WHARTON	2009	\$361,476.72	\$595,028.73	13.17%	12
WICHITA	2005	\$1,782,495.36	\$1,146,727.64	5.15%	12
WILLIAMSON	2009	\$12,286,043.12	\$530,070.44	0.35%	7
WISE	2009	\$1,499,579.52	\$245,543.81	1.31%	12
WOOD	2008	\$687,421.12			0
YOUNG	2009	\$297,105.20			0
ZAPATA	2009	\$1,708,801.76	\$15,017.28	0.07%	11
ZAVALA	2009	\$111,288.08	\$158,957.36	11.43%	8

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures