

# FY 13 State Assistance by County

<b>County</b>	<b>Total Reimbursement</b>	<b>Number of Requests</b>
ATASCOSA	\$63,128.08	2
BROOKS	\$92,151.70	3
CALLAHAN	\$7,072.35	2
EASTLAND	\$33,028.20	3
JONES	\$22,459.61	4
TRINITY	\$5,135.08	1
ZAVALA	\$46,207.70	3

# FY 13 County Payment Distributions Summary

<b>County Payments Distribution</b>	<b>Year To Date</b>	<b>Year To Date %</b>
A. Physicians Services	\$7,047,619.52	24.93%
B. Prescription Drugs	\$4,356,754.50	15.41%
C. Hospital Inpatient Services	\$7,370,653.92	26.07%
D. Hospital Outpatient Services	\$6,113,307.50	21.62%
E. Lab/Xray Services	\$1,088,312.90	3.85%
F. Skilled Nursing Facility	\$16,669.18	0.06%
G. Family Planning Services	\$260,133.40	0.92%
H. Rural Health Clinic Services	\$205,087.61	0.73%
I. State Hospital Contract	\$328,041.81	1.16%
J. Optional Services	\$1,488,392.62	5.26%
<b>Sub-Total County Payments</b>	<b>\$28,274,972.96</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>County Reimbursements</b>	<b>\$1,349,506.61</b>	
<b>Net County Payments</b>	<b>\$26,925,466.35</b>	

# FY 13 County Monthly Spending and Payment Distribution

Month	Physician Services	Prescription Drugs	Hospital Inpatient Services	Hospital Outpatient Services	Lab/XRay Services	Skilled Nursing Facility	Family Planning Services	Rural Health Clinic Services	State Hospital Contracts	Optional Services	Monthly Total Expenditures
September	\$498,477.77	\$266,599.93	\$847,243.94	\$670,655.03	\$61,518.50	\$0.00	\$21,652.83	\$11,727.05	\$26,006.08	\$107,053.78	\$2,510,934.91
October	\$687,813.26	\$327,010.55	\$520,623.36	\$458,087.85	\$99,403.67	\$0.00	\$21,652.83	\$17,390.00	\$7,618.64	\$162,758.17	\$2,302,358.33
November	\$613,053.23	\$336,012.19	\$558,037.63	\$595,367.46	\$105,483.04	\$6,876.00	\$21,652.83	\$22,072.73	\$38,625.72	\$149,600.25	\$2,446,781.08
December	\$679,352.39	\$501,583.18	\$556,056.70	\$628,333.64	\$120,259.35	\$0.00	\$21,652.83	\$41,258.19	\$17,856.26	\$147,203.90	\$2,713,556.44
January	\$703,638.20	\$452,479.86	\$920,910.91	\$687,347.49	\$133,067.18	\$0.00	\$26,314.80	\$13,657.38	\$16,404.67	\$182,520.43	\$3,136,340.92
February	\$777,718.58	\$390,370.06	\$922,455.44	\$797,809.88	\$110,332.65	\$0.00	\$27,291.67	\$15,034.10	\$108,616.10	\$171,331.39	\$3,320,959.87
March	\$513,422.31	\$439,792.62	\$1,158,502.71	\$940,159.95	\$146,590.89	\$0.00	\$29,762.65	\$17,772.58	\$1,646.75	\$89,021.65	\$3,336,672.11
March	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
April	\$786,074.29	\$450,552.43	\$1,059,286.65	\$744,847.47	\$88,233.55	\$1,655.52	\$29,860.45	\$13,237.43	\$17,256.68	\$190,417.34	\$3,381,421.81
April	\$481.76	\$2,194.03	\$0.00	\$9,302.64	\$39.83	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$482.38	\$12,500.64
May	\$739,828.50	\$387,769.93	\$1,499,042.64	\$1,017,093.20	\$91,719.29	\$3,069.62	\$30,159.89	\$13,713.65	\$16,140.32	\$173,318.78	\$3,971,855.82
June	\$520,828.32	\$335,547.88	\$336,845.16	\$305,068.46	\$54,488.88	\$3,341.42	\$21,652.83	\$6,805.44	\$31,876.20	\$44,955.23	\$1,661,409.82
July	\$501,502.07	\$376,921.34	\$562,063.97	\$698,660.63	\$80,843.42	\$2,913.66	\$21,652.83	\$18,729.09	\$20,363.70	\$88,013.37	\$2,371,664.08

Monday, October 21, 2013

<b>Month</b>	<b>Physician Services</b>	<b>Prescription Drugs</b>	<b>Hospital Inpatient Services</b>	<b>Hospital Outpatient Services</b>	<b>Lab/XRay Services</b>	<b>Skilled Nursing Facility</b>	<b>Family Planning Services</b>	<b>Rural Health Clinic Services</b>	<b>State Hospital Contracts</b>	<b>Optional Services</b>	<b>Monthly Total Expenditures</b>
August	\$508,481.15	\$344,330.53	\$511,915.89	\$550,786.71	\$78,110.14	\$0.00	\$21,652.83	\$13,994.97	\$25,630.69	\$84,149.45	\$2,139,052.36

# COUNTY INDIGENT HEALTH CARE SPENDING

For FY 2013

## County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
ANDERSON	2011	\$1,194,829.76			0
ANGELINA	2011	\$1,419,684.48			0
ARANSAS	2011	\$630,193.20	\$463,132.87	5.88%	10
ARCHER	2011	\$254,051.20	\$64,043.48	2.02%	12
ARMSTRONG	2011	\$37,348.56			0
ATASCOSA	2011	\$1,078,293.04	\$1,135,158.48	8.42%	12
AUSTIN	2011	\$415,207.28	\$74,699.88	1.44%	12
BAILEY	2011	\$14,976.56			0
BANDERA	2011	\$779,138.32	\$102,527.86	1.05%	11
BASTROP	2011	\$1,767,442.24	\$572,548.31	2.59%	12
BELL	2011	\$4,165,870.48			0
BLANCO	2011	\$269,671.28			0
BORDEN	2011	\$147,213.84	\$0.00	0.00%	12
BOSQUE	2011	\$393,381.92	\$1,701.16	0.03%	9
BOWIE	2011	\$1,263,896.96			0
BRAZORIA	2011	\$962,793.84			0
BRAZOS	2011	\$5,356,713.04	\$207,664.09	0.31%	12
BRISCOE	2011	\$52,780.64			0
BROOKS	2011	\$340,086.48	\$796,718.09	18.74%	12
BROWN	2011	\$677,403.52			0
BURNET	2011	\$1,031,960.40	\$400,709.20	3.11%	12
CALDWELL	2011	\$928,359.28	\$76,500.22	0.66%	4
CALLAHAN	2011	\$132,868.00	\$137,200.54	8.26%	12
CAMERON	2011	\$3,806,001.92			0
CAMP	2011	\$198,658.40			0
CARSON	2011	\$297,468.00			0
CASS	2011	\$477,931.84	\$181,359.31	3.04%	12
CHAMBERS	2011	\$1,622,392.96	\$69,484.49	0.34%	12
CHEROKEE	2011	\$751,875.20	\$35,521.51	0.38%	12
COLLIN	2011	\$10,093,900.08			0
COLORADO	2011	\$393,835.60	\$43,349.56	0.88%	12
COMAL	2011	\$3,018,556.24			0
COMANCHE	2011	\$5,079.60	\$0.00	0.00%	12
CORYELL	2011	\$846,381.84	\$463,789.60	4.38%	11
COTTLE	2011	\$72,559.60			0
CROCKETT	2011	\$736,941.92	(\$864.46)	-0.01%	12
DELTA	2011	\$114,845.04	\$42,330.91	2.95%	10
DENTON	2011	\$7,504,805.92	\$735,359.44	0.78%	9
DEWITT	2011	\$191,655.44	\$163,234.36	6.81%	11
DICKENS	2011	\$75,952.64	\$51,339.05	5.41%	12
DUVAL	2011	\$476,914.24			0
EASTLAND	2011	\$283,179.20	\$346,971.74	9.80%	12

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures

## County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
EDWARDS	2011	\$120,172.96	\$77.55	0.01%	12
ELLIS	2011	\$3,088,150.88	\$356,854.40	0.92%	12
ERATH	2011	\$789,221.92			0
FALLS	2011	\$341,541.28	\$337,527.53	7.91%	12
FANNIN	2011	\$403,685.28	\$162,428.06	3.22%	12
FAYETTE	2011	\$620,358.24			0
FORT BEND	2011	\$12,111,763.28			0
FREESTONE	2011	\$59,552.56	\$14,999.33	2.01%	12
FRIO	2011	\$68,480.40			0
GAINES	2011	\$189,480.48	\$92,465.46	3.90%	12
GALVESTON	2011	\$9,820,470.88	\$3,646,118.79	2.97%	11
GILLESPIE	2011	\$779,415.36	\$246,955.12	2.53%	12
GLASSCOCK	2011	\$337,267.52	\$0.00	0.00%	9
GOLIAD	2011	\$474,170.00	\$69,075.54	1.17%	12
GRAY	2011	\$532,263.84	\$95,414.90	1.43%	12
GRAYSON	2011	\$2,246,452.08	\$1,146,091.44	4.08%	7
GREGG	2011	\$2,934,602.16			0
GUADALUPE	2011	\$2,602,026.16			0
HALE	2011	\$824,478.56	\$306,029.93	2.97%	8
HAMILTON	2011	\$44,138.80	\$453.79	0.08%	8
HARDIN	2011	\$867,168.64	\$326,230.72	3.01%	12
HARRISON	2011	\$1,251,755.84	\$362,883.99	2.32%	11
HAYS	2011	\$4,746,837.36			0
HENDERSON	2011	\$1,706,286.16	\$117,658.31	0.55%	12
HIDALGO	2011	\$11,188,377.36			0
HILL	2011	\$716,261.28	\$172,080.94	1.92%	12
HOCKLEY	2011	\$743,546.80	\$206,562.83	2.22%	11
HOWARD	2011	\$751,286.96	\$35,711.98	0.38%	10
HUDSPETH	2011	\$203,724.56			0
IRION	2011	\$220,251.76	\$25,415.75	0.92%	12
JASPER	2011	\$384,490.88	\$286,644.50	5.96%	12
JEFF DAVIS	2011	\$104,088.64			0
JEFFERSON	2011	\$8,040,732.08	\$1,278,299.83	1.27%	12
JIM HOGG	2011	\$292,729.20	\$60,126.18	1.64%	8
JIM WELLS	2011	\$1,216,257.60	\$77,472.11	0.51%	11
JOHNSON	2011	\$2,876,057.20			0
JONES	2011	\$105,845.04	\$114,660.79	8.67%	12
KAUFMAN	2011	\$2,326,337.28	\$325,295.84	1.12%	12
KENDALL	2011	\$1,407,375.92	\$72,545.62	0.41%	12
KENEDY	2011	\$354,448.96			0
KENT	2011	\$224,918.56	\$55,729.62	1.98%	12
KERR	2011	\$1,266,211.60	\$125,952.77	0.80%	10
KING	2011	\$151,556.48			0
KINNEY	2011	\$98,728.00	\$60,089.85	4.87%	11

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures

## County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
KLEBERG	2011	\$920,824.96			0
LAMAR	2011	\$1,072,305.20	\$786,632.10	5.87%	11
LAMPASAS	2011	\$475,188.96			0
LASALLE	2011	\$294,256.88	\$92,591.55	2.52%	12
LAVACA	2011	\$35,167.12			0
LEE	2011	\$615,404.00	\$142,192.11	1.85%	12
LEON	2011	\$1,308,288.72	\$35,304.25	0.22%	12
LIBERTY	2011	\$931,932.40	\$70,894.65	0.61%	12
LIMESTONE	2011	\$135,086.80	\$11,990.02	0.71%	12
LIVE OAK	2011	\$550,000.64			0
LOVING	2011	\$310,021.28			0
MADISON	2011	\$389,749.28	\$28,702.89	0.59%	12
MASON	2011	\$124,076.16	\$16,389.85	1.06%	11
MCLENNAN	2011	\$5,038,491.44	\$197,323.64	0.31%	3
MCMULLEN	2011	\$283,081.36	\$0.00	0.00%	11
MILAM	2011	\$651,199.76	\$320,440.96	3.94%	12
MILLS	2011	\$138,775.60	\$81,649.61	4.71%	12
MONTAGUE	2011	\$237,637.36	\$97,270.58	3.27%	12
MORRIS	2011	\$216,801.68	\$226,374.66	8.35%	12
NAVARRO	2011	\$1,238,345.60			0
NEWTON	2011	\$327,430.24	\$35,217.85	0.86%	12
OLDHAM	2011	\$107,514.00			0
ORANGE	2011	\$2,223,193.84	\$386,539.57	1.39%	12
POLK	2011	\$794,891.20	\$103,419.28	1.04%	11
RAINS	2011	\$303,262.72	\$67,898.51	1.79%	11
RANDALL	2011	\$225,669.84	\$36,770.17	1.30%	11
REAL	2011	\$153,108.96			0
RED RIVER	2011	\$216,194.24	\$155,864.66	5.77%	12
ROBERTS	2011	\$237,934.48	\$0.00	0.00%	10
ROBERTSON	2011	\$1,054,209.28	\$40,121.64	0.30%	12
ROCKWALL	2011	\$2,124,915.60	\$72,599.74	0.27%	12
RUNNELS	2011	\$17,521.92	\$0.00	0.00%	12
RUSK	2011	\$1,013,221.84			0
SAN JACINTO	2011	\$511,199.44	\$78,381.31	1.23%	12
SAN PATRICIO	2011	\$1,482,543.04	\$321,333.11	1.73%	12
SAN SABA	2011	\$149,409.44	(\$1,308.65)	-0.07%	1
SHELBY	2011	\$370,330.96	\$113,300.30	2.45%	12
SMITH	2011	\$4,608,777.76	\$752,257.33	1.31%	12
STERLING	2011	\$232,200.08	\$20,759.21	0.72%	12
TAYLOR	2011	\$2,452,558.40	\$974,148.06	3.18%	11
TERRELL	2011	\$264,489.68	\$0.00	0.00%	12
TOM GREEN	2011	\$1,696,892.72	\$226,341.33	1.07%	12
TRINITY	2011	\$117,987.04	\$119,582.56	8.11%	11
UPSHUR	2011	\$737,114.96			0

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures

## County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
UVALDE	2011	\$702,251.68	\$214,999.96	2.45%	7
VAN ZANDT	2011	\$732,858.32			0
WALLER	2011	\$13,351,108.00	\$89,960.24	0.05%	12
WASHINGTON	2011	\$751,290.08	\$36,425.93	0.39%	12
WEBB	2011	\$5,831,433.60	\$1,828,744.22	2.51%	8
WHARTON	2011	\$368,522.32	\$98,282.91	2.13%	12
WICHITA	2011	\$2,497,248.16	\$1,557,235.03	4.99%	12
WILLIAMSON	2011	\$7,733,237.52			0
WISE	2011	\$1,887,730.32	\$263,285.17	1.12%	12
WOOD	2011	\$745,263.28			0
YOUNG	2011	\$421,529.28			0
ZAPATA	2011	\$1,043,439.12	\$699,557.26	5.36%	12
ZAVALA	2011	\$130,850.00	\$183,659.62	11.23%	11

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures